



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/647,320

08/26/2003

Hossein Najaf-Zadeh

18-47 US

2019

25319 7590 06/20/2007
FREEDMAN & ASSOCIATES
117 CENTREPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 350
NEPEAN, ONTARIO, K2G 5X3
CANADA

EXAMINER

KURR, JASON RICHARD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/647,320

Applicant(s)

NAJAF-ZADEH ET AL.

Examiner

Jason R. Kurr

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 22-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14-21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/04.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on March 26, 2007.

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because Figures 6a and 6b are not aligned with the edges of the page. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2615

Claims 13, 22-23, 27 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lockwood et al (US 6,477,489 B1).

With respect to claim 13, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal comprising: receiving the audio signal (fig.1 "s"); determining an inharmonicity index in dependence upon the received audio signal (col.8 ln.52-55 " S_n, f^2 "); determining a masking threshold in dependence upon the inharmonicity index using a psychoacoustic model (fig.1 #60, col.8 ln.56-59); and, encoding the audio signal in dependence upon the masking threshold (fig.1 #64, col.10 ln.15-21).

With respect to claim 22, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 13, comprising: determining a temporal masking index in dependence upon the received audio signal (col.6 ln.33-67, col.7 ln.1-26); and, determining a masking threshold in dependence upon the inharmonicity index and the temporal masking index using a psychoacoustic model (col.8 ln.56-59).

With respect to claim 23, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal comprising: receiving the audio signal (fig.1 "s"); determining a non-linear masking index in dependence upon human perception of natural characteristics of the audio signal (col.8 ln.52-55); determining a masking threshold in dependence upon the non-linear masking index using a psychoacoustic model (fig.1 #60, col.8 ln.56-59); and, encoding the audio signal in dependence upon the masking threshold (fig.1 #64, col.10 ln.15-21).

With respect to claim 27, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal comprising: receiving the audio signal (fig.1 "s"); determining a masking index in

Art Unit: 2615

dependence upon human perception of natural characteristics of the audio signal other than intensity or tonality such that a human perceptible sound quality of the audio signal is retained (col.8 ln.52-55); determining a masking threshold in dependence upon the masking index using a psychoacoustic model (col.8 ln.56-59, fig.1 #60); and, encoding the audio signal in dependence upon the masking threshold (fig.1 #64, col.10 ln.15-21).

With respect to claim 31, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal comprising: receiving the audio signal (fig.1 "s"); determining a masking index in dependence upon human perception of natural characteristics of the audio signal by considering at least a wideband frequency spectrum of the audio signal (col.8 ln.52-55); determining a masking threshold in dependence upon the masking index using a psychoacoustic model (fig.1 #60, col.8 ln.56-59); and, encoding the audio signal in dependence upon the masking threshold (fig.1 #64, col.10 ln.15-21).

With respect to claim 32, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 31, wherein the wideband frequency spectrum is the complete frequency spectrum of the audio signal (col.3 ln.62-67, col.4 ln.1-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-26, 28-30 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lockwood et al (US 6,477,489 B1).

With respect to claims 24, 28 and 33, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claims 23, 27 and 31 respectively, however does not disclose expressly wherein the psychoacoustic model is the MPEG-1 psychoacoustic model 2. Official Notice is taken that the MPEG-1 psychoacoustic model 2 is well known in the art and at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the MPEG-1 psychoacoustic model 2 as the psychoacoustic model discloses by Lockwood. The motivation for doing so would have been to make the noise masking system of Lockwood compatible with the pre-existing format. This would allow the system to be used with the already prevalent MPEG encoding standard.

With respect to claim 25, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 24, wherein the non-linear masking index is a temporal masking index (col.6 ln.33-67, col.7 ln.1-26).

With respect to claim 26, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 24, wherein the non-linear masking index is an inharmonicity index (col.8 ln.56-59).

With respect to claim 29, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 28, wherein the non-linear masking index is a temporal masking index (col.6 ln.33-67, col.7 ln.1-26).

Art Unit: 2615

With respect to claim 30, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 28, wherein the non-linear masking index is an inharmonicity index (col.8 ln.56-59).

With respect to claim 34, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 33, wherein the non-linear masking index is a temporal masking index (col.6 ln.33-67, col.7 ln.1-26).

With respect to claim 35, Lockwood discloses a method for encoding an audio signal as defined in claim 33, wherein the non-linear masking index is an inharmonicity index (col.8 ln.56-59).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chen (US 5,790,759) discloses a perceptual noise masking measure based on synthesis filter frequency response.

Crockett (US 2004/0122662 A1) discloses high quality time-scaling and pitch scaling of audio signals.

Art Unit: 2615

Cohen et al (US 6,064,954) discloses digital audio signal coding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R. Kurr whose telephone number is (571) 272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 273-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JK

JK


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2900